Prof. Dr. Susanne Albers Dr. Suzanne van der Ster Dario Frascaria Lehrstuhl für Theoretische Informatik Fakultät für Informatik Technische Universität München

Problem set 2 April 22, 2016 Summer Semester 2016

# **Online and Approximation Algorithms**

Due April 29, 2016 before 10:00

### Exercise 1 (Marking Algorithm - 10 points)

Consider a sequence of requests  $\sigma$  for pages from a memory system with a fast memory of size k. A k-phase partition of  $\sigma$  is obtained as follows: we partition the request sequence into phases such that each phase is the maximal sequence containing k pairwise distinct pages that follows the previous one, except possibly the last phase which contains requests to at most k different pages.

Given a k-phase partition of  $\sigma$ , we define a *marking* of the pages requested as follows. At the beginning of a phase, all pages are unmarked. During the phase, a page is marked upon the first request to it. Recall that an online paging algorithm is a *marking algorithm*, if it never evicts a marked page.

- (a) Prove that every marking algorithm is k-competitive.
- (b) Prove that FIFO is not a marking algorithm.

### Exercise 2 (Conservative Algorithm - 10 points)

Consider a sequence of requests  $\sigma$  for pages from a memory system with a fast memory of size k. We say that a paging algorithm is *conservative* if on any consecutive input subsequence containing k or fewer distinct page references, the algorithm will incur k or fewer page faults.

Recall that the algorithm Flush When Full (FWF), upon a page fault, when there is no space left in fast memory, evicts all pages currently in fast memory. Show that FWF is not a conservative algorithm.

### Exercise 3 (Amortized Analysis, Sequence of Requests - 10 points)

Suppose that we serve a sequence of n requests, where the cost for serving the *i*th request is

$$c_i = \begin{cases} i, & \text{if } i \text{ is an exact power of } 2\\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Use amortized analysis with an appropriate potential function in order to show that the worst-case total cost of serving n requests is upper bounded by 3n.

## Exercise 4 (Amortized Analysis, Blocks into a Box - 10 points)

Consider an initially empty box in which we can store at most n blocks in the form of a tower. We perform a sequence of n operations, where each operation is one of the following:

- 1. Put a block at the top of the tower with cost 1.
- 2. Remove the block from the top of the tower with cost 1.
- 3. Remove the k top blocks from the tower with cost k.

Use amortized analysis with an appropriate potential function in order to show that the worst-case total cost of serving n requests is upper bounded by 2n.