19 Cuts & Metrics

Shortest Path

$$\begin{array}{llll} \min & \sum_{e} c(e) x_{e} \\ \text{s.t.} & \forall S \in S & \sum_{e \in \delta(S)} x_{e} & \geq & 1 \\ & \forall e \in E & x_{e} & \in & \{0,1\} \end{array}$$

S is the set of subsets that separate s from t.

The Dual:

max
$$\sum_{S} y_{S}$$
s.t. $\forall e \in E$ $\sum_{S:e \in \delta(S)} y_{S} \leq c(e)$ $\forall S \in S$ $y_{S} \geq 0$

The Separation Problem for the Shortest Path LP is the Minimum Cut Problem.

19 Cuts & Metrics

Minimum Cut

$$\begin{array}{llll} \min & \sum_{e} c(e) x_{e} \\ \text{s.t.} & \forall P \in \mathcal{P} & \sum_{e \in P} x_{e} & \geq & 1 \\ & \forall e \in E & x_{e} & \in & \{0,1\} \end{array}$$

 \mathcal{P} is the set of path that connect s and t.

The Dual:

max
$$\sum_{P} y_{P}$$
s.t. $\forall e \in E$ $\sum_{P:e \in P} y_{P} \leq c(e)$ $\forall P \in P$ $y_{P} \geq 0$

The Separation Problem for the Minimum Cut LP is the Shortest Path Problem.

19 Cuts & Metrics

Observations:

Suppose that ℓ_e -values are solution to Minimum Cut LP.

- We can view ℓ_e as defining the length of an edge.
- ▶ Define $d(u, v) = \min_{\text{path } P \text{ btw. } u \text{ and } v} \sum_{e \in P} \ell_e$ as the Shortest Path Metric induced by ℓ_e .
- ▶ We have $d(u, v) = \ell_e$ for every edge e = (u, v), as otw. we could reduce ℓ_e without affecting the distance between s and t.

Remark for bean-counters:

d is not a metric on V but a semimetric as two nodes u and v could have distance zero.

How do we round the LP?

Let B(s,r) be the ball of radius r around s (w.r.t. metric d). Formally:

$$B = \{ v \in V \mid d(s, v) \le r \}$$


▶ For $0 \le r < 1$, B(s,r) is an s-t-cut.

Which value of r should we choose? choose randomly!!!

Formally:

choose r u.a.r. (uniformly at random) from interval [0,1)

What is the probability that an edge (u, v) is in the cut?



▶ asssume wlog. $d(s, u) \le d(s, v)$

$$\Pr[e \text{ is cut}] = \Pr[r \in [d(s, u), d(s, v))] \le \frac{d(s, v) - d(s, u)}{1 - 0}$$

$$\le \ell_e$$

What is the expected size of a cut?

E[size of cut] = E[
$$\sum_{e} c(e) \Pr[e \text{ is cut}]$$
]
 $\leq \sum_{e} c(e) \ell_{e}$

On the other hand:

$$\sum_{e} c(e) \ell_e \le \text{size of mincut}$$

as the ℓ_e are the solution to the Mincut LP *relaxation*.

Hence, our rounding gives an optimal solution.

Minimum Multicut:

Given a graph G=(V,E), together with source-target pairs s_i,t_i , $i=1,\ldots,k$, and a capacity function $c:E\to\mathbb{R}^+$ on the edges. Find a subset $F\subseteq E$ of the edges such that all s_i - t_i pairs lie in different components in $G=(V,E\setminus F)$.

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \min & & \sum_{e} c(e) \ell_e \\ \text{s.t.} & \forall P \in \mathcal{P}_i \text{ for some } i & \sum_{e \in P} \ell_e & \geq & 1 \\ & \forall e \in E & \ell_e & \in & \{0,1\} \end{array}$$

Here \mathcal{P}_i contains all path P between s_i and t_i .

Re-using the analysis for the single-commodity case is difficult.

$$Pr[e \text{ is cut}] \leq ?$$

- ▶ If for some R the balls $B(s_i, R)$ are disjoint between different sources, we get a 1/R approximation.
- However, this cannot be guaranteed.

- Assume for simplicity that all edge-length ℓ_e are multiples of $\delta \ll 1$.
- Replace the graph G by a graph G', where an edge of length ℓ_e is replaced by ℓ_e/δ edges of length δ .
- Let $B(s_i, z)$ be the ball in G' that contains nodes v with distance $d(s_i, v) \leq z\delta$.

Algorithm 1 RegionGrowing(s_i, p)

2: **repeat**3: flip a coin (Pr[heads] = p)
4: $z \leftarrow z + 1$ 5: **until** heads
6: **return** $B(s_i, z)$

Algorithm 1 Multicut(G')

1: while $\exists s_i$ - t_i pair in G' do

2: $C \leftarrow \text{RegionGrowing}(s_i, p)$ 3: $G' = G' \setminus C \text{ // cuts edges leaving } C$ 4: **return** $B(s_i, z)$

- probability of cutting an edge is only p
- a source either does not reach an edge during Region Growing; then it is not cut
- if it reaches the edge then it either cuts the edge or protects the edge from being cut by other sources
- if we choose $p = \delta$ the probability of cutting an edge is only its LP-value; our expected cost are at most OPT.

Problem:

We may not cut all source-target pairs.

A component that we remove may contain an s_i - t_i pair.

If we ensure that we cut before reaching radius 1/2 we are in good shape.

- choose $p = 6 \ln k \cdot \delta$
- we make $\frac{1}{2\delta}$ trials before reaching radius 1/2.
- we say a Region Growing is not successful if it does not terminate before reaching radius 1/2.

$$\Pr[\mathsf{not}\;\mathsf{successful}] \leq (1-p)^{\frac{1}{2\delta}} = \left((1-p)^{1/p}\right)^{\frac{p}{2\delta}} \leq e^{-\frac{p}{2\delta}} \leq \frac{1}{k^3}$$

Hence,

$$\Pr[\exists i \text{ that is not successful}] \leq \frac{1}{k^2}$$

What is expected cost?

$$\begin{split} E[\text{cutsize}] &= \text{Pr}[\text{success}] \cdot E[\text{cutsize} \mid \text{success}] \\ &\quad + \text{Pr}[\text{no success}] \cdot E[\text{cutsize} \mid \text{no success}] \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \text{E[cutsize \mid succ.]} &= \frac{\text{E[cutsize]} - \text{Pr[no succ.]} \cdot \text{E[cutsize \mid no succ.]}}{\text{Pr[success]}} \\ &\leq \frac{\text{E[cutsize]}}{\text{Pr[success]}} \leq \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{k^2}} 6 \ln k \cdot \text{OPT} \leq 8 \ln k \cdot \text{OPT} \end{split}$$

Note: success means all source-target pairs separated

We assume $k \ge 2$.

If we are not successful we simply perform a trivial k-approximation.

This only increases the expected cost by at most $\frac{1}{k^2} \cdot k\text{OPT} \leq \text{OPT}/k$.

Hence, our final cost is $O(\ln k) \cdot OPT$ in expectation.