Definition 2

An (s, t)-flow in a (complete) directed graph $G = (V, V \times V, c)$ is a function $f : V \times V \mapsto \mathbb{R}_0^+$ that satisfies

1. For each edge (x, y)

 $0 \leq f_{xy} \leq c_{xy}$.

(capacity constraints)

2. For each $v \in V \setminus \{s, t\}$

$$\sum_{x} f_{vx} = \sum_{x} f_{xv} \; .$$

(flow conservation constraints)



Definition 2

An (s, t)-flow in a (complete) directed graph $G = (V, V \times V, c)$ is a function $f : V \times V \mapsto \mathbb{R}_0^+$ that satisfies

1. For each edge (x, y)

 $0 \leq f_{xy} \leq c_{xy}$.

(capacity constraints)

2. For each $v \in V \setminus \{s, t\}$

$$\sum_{x} f_{vx} = \sum_{x} f_{xv} \ .$$

(flow conservation constraints)



Definition 3 The value of an (s, t)-flow f is defined as

$$\operatorname{val}(f) = \sum_{x} f_{sx} - \sum_{x} f_{xs} .$$

Maximum Flow Problem: Find an (s,t)-flow with maximum value.



Definition 3 The value of an (s, t)-flow f is defined as

$$\operatorname{val}(f) = \sum_{X} f_{SX} - \sum_{X} f_{XS} .$$

Maximum Flow Problem:

Find an (s, t)-flow with maximum value.



max		$\sum_{z} f_{sz} - \sum_{z} f_{zs}$			
s.t.	$\forall (z, w) \in V \times V$	f_{zw}	\leq	C_{ZW}	ℓ_{zw}
	$\forall w \neq s, t$	$\sum_{z} f_{zw} - \sum_{z} f_{wz}$	=	0	p_w
		f_{zw}	\geq	0	



max		$\sum_{z} f_{sz} - \sum_{z} f_{zs}$			
s.t.	$\forall (z, w) \in V \times V$	f_{zw}	\leq	C_{ZW}	ℓ_{zw}
	$\forall w \neq s, t$	$\sum_{z} f_{zw} - \sum_{z} f_{wz}$	=	0	p_w
		f_{zw}	\geq	0	

min		$\sum_{(xy)} c_{xy} \ell_{xy}$		
s.t.	$f_{xy}(x, y \neq s, t)$:	$1\ell_{xy}-1p_x+1p_y$	≥	0
	$f_{sy}(y \neq s,t)$:	$1\ell_{sy}$ $+1p_y$	≥	1
	f_{xs} $(x \neq s, t)$:	$1\ell_{xs}-1p_x$	≥	-1
	$f_{ty} (y \neq s, t)$:	$1\ell_{ty}$ $+1p_y$	≥	0
	f_{xt} $(x \neq s, t)$:	$1\ell_{xt}-1p_x$	≥	0
	f_{st} :	$1\ell_{st}$	≥	1
	f_{ts} :	$1\ell_{ts}$	≥	-1
		ℓ_{xy}	≥	0



5.5 Computing Duals



5.5 Computing Duals

with $p_t = 0$ and $p_s = 1$.

min		$\sum_{(xy)} c_{xy} \ell_{xy}$		
s.t.	f_{xy} :	$1\ell_{xy}-1p_x+1p_y$	\geq	0
		ℓ_{xy}	\geq	0
		p_s	=	1
		p_t	=	0

We can interpret the ℓ_{xy} value as assigning a length to every edge.

The value p_x for a variable, then can be seen as the distance of x to t (where the distance from s to t is required to be 1 since $p_s = 1$).

The constraint $p_x \leq \ell_{xy} + p_y$ then simply follows from triangle inequality $(d(x,t) \leq d(x,y) + d(y,t) \Rightarrow d(x,t) \leq \ell_{xy} + d(y,t))$.



We can interpret the ℓ_{xy} value as assigning a length to every edge.

The value p_x for a variable, then can be seen as the distance of x to t(where the distance from s to t is required to be 1 since $p_s = 1$).

The constraint $p_x \leq \ell_{xy} + p_y$ then simply follows from triangle inequality $(d(x,t) \leq d(x,y) + d(y,t) \Rightarrow d(x,t) \leq \ell_{xy} + d(y,t))$.



We can interpret the ℓ_{xy} value as assigning a length to every edge.

The value p_x for a variable, then can be seen as the distance of x to t (where the distance from s to t is required to be 1 since $p_s = 1$).

The constraint $p_x \le \ell_{xy} + p_y$ then simply follows from triangle inequality $(d(x,t) \le d(x,y) + d(y,t) \Rightarrow d(x,t) \le \ell_{xy} + d(y,t)).$



We can interpret the ℓ_{xy} value as assigning a length to every edge.

The value p_x for a variable, then can be seen as the distance of x to t (where the distance from s to t is required to be 1 since $p_s = 1$).

The constraint $p_x \leq \ell_{xy} + p_y$ then simply follows from triangle inequality ($d(x,t) \leq d(x,y) + d(y,t) \Rightarrow d(x,t) \leq \ell_{xy} + d(y,t)$).



One can show that there is an optimum LP-solution for the dual problem that gives an integral assignment of variables.

This means $p_x = 1$ or $p_x = 0$ for our case. This gives rise to a cut in the graph with vertices having value 1 on one side and the other vertices on the other side. The objective function then evaluates the capacity of this cut.

This shows that the Maxflow/Mincut theorem follows from linear programming duality.



One can show that there is an optimum LP-solution for the dual problem that gives an integral assignment of variables.

This means $p_{\chi} = 1$ or $p_{\chi} = 0$ for our case. This gives rise to a cut in the graph with vertices having value 1 on one side and the other vertices on the other side. The objective function then evaluates the capacity of this cut.

This shows that the Maxflow/Mincut theorem follows from linear programming duality.



One can show that there is an optimum LP-solution for the dual problem that gives an integral assignment of variables.

This means $p_{\chi} = 1$ or $p_{\chi} = 0$ for our case. This gives rise to a cut in the graph with vertices having value 1 on one side and the other vertices on the other side. The objective function then evaluates the capacity of this cut.

This shows that the Maxflow/Mincut theorem follows from linear programming duality.

