

---

## Online and Approximation Algorithms

---

Due December 11, 2017 at 10:00

### Exercise 1 (Compression – 13 points)

Consider the alphabet  $\Sigma = \{i, m, p, s\}$  and the string  $S = \text{'missmississippi'}$  over  $\Sigma$ .

- Calculate the Burrows-Wheeler transformation of  $S$ .
- Show the encoding procedure of the transformed text of (a) by using Linear List compression and the Move-To-Front algorithm, assuming that the initial list is  $(i, m, p, s)$ . Show all intermediate steps.
- Encode the result of (b) using the Huffman code. Include the resulting tree, the encoding table as well as the encoded bit string.

### Exercise 2 (Decompression – 10 points)

Consider the alphabet  $\Sigma = \{a, b, n, s\}$ . An unknown string  $S$  was transformed with the Burrows-Wheeler transformation, afterwards encoded with Linear List compression using the Move-To-Front algorithm (the initial list was sorted alphabetically) and then compressed with the Huffman code. The result of this procedure is

0110 1010 1100 0111 11

The Huffman table is

00  $\rightarrow$  4  
01  $\rightarrow$  3  
1  $\rightarrow$  1

The index of the Burrows-Wheeler transformation that contains the original string is  $I = 6$ .

Find the original string  $S$ . Execute the Burrows-Wheeler back transformation with linear space.

### Exercise 3 (Huffman code – 7 points)

Let  $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$  be an memoryless source over an alphabet  $\Sigma = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . In the literature there is sometimes the claim that the number of bits of the Huffman code of the symbol  $x_i$  is *never* greater than  $\lceil -\log_2 p_i \rceil$ . However, this is not the case. Find an example.

#### **Exercise 4 (Prefix code properties – 10 points)**

Let  $C^*$  be an arbitrary optimal prefix code where the symbol  $x_i$  that occurs with probability of  $p_i > 0$  is encoded by  $l_i$  bits.

- (a) Prove: if  $p_j > p_k$ , then  $l_j \leq l_k$
- (b) Prove: The two symbols with the lowest probability are encoded by the two longest code words which have the same length.

In the exercise session we will prove the optimality of Huffman codes as an additional presence exercise. You do not need to do this at home!