
#### **Problem Definition:**

$$\begin{aligned} & \min & & \sum_{e} c(e) f(e) \\ & \text{s.t.} & & \forall e \in E: & 0 \leq f(e) \leq u(e) \\ & & \forall v \in V: & f(v) = b(v) \end{aligned}$$

- G = (V, E) is a directed graph.
- ▶  $u: E \to \mathbb{R}_0^+ \cup \{\infty\}$  is the capacity function.
- ▶  $c: E \to \mathbb{R}$  is the cost function (note that c(e) may be negative).
- ▶  $b: V \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\sum_{v \in V} b(v) = 0$  is a demand function.

# **Solve Maxflow Using Mincost Flow**



- Given a flow network for a standard maxflow problem.
- Set b(v) = 0 for every node. Keep the capacity function u for all edges. Set the cost c(e) for every edge to 0.
- Add an edge from t to s with infinite capacity and cost -1.
- ► Then,  $val(f^*) = -cost(f_{min})$ , where  $f^*$  is a maxflow, and  $f_{min}$  is a mincost-flow.

# **Solve Maxflow Using Mincost Flow**

#### Solve decision version of maxflow:

- Given a flow network for a standard maxflow problem, and a value k.
- Set b(v) = 0 for every node apart from s or t. Set b(s) = -k and b(t) = k.
- Set edge-costs to zero, and keep the capacities.
- There exists a maxflow of value at least k if and only if the mincost-flow problem is feasible.

## Generalization

#### Our model:

$$\begin{aligned} & \min \quad \sum_{e} c(e) f(e) \\ & \text{s.t.} \quad \forall e \in E : \quad 0 \leq f(e) \leq u(e) \\ & \quad \forall v \in V : \quad f(v) = b(v) \end{aligned}$$

where 
$$b: V \to \mathbb{R}$$
,  $\sum_{v} b(v) = 0$ ;  $u: E \to \mathbb{R}_0^+ \cup \{\infty\}$ ;  $c: E \to \mathbb{R}$ ;

### A more general model?

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{min} & & \sum_{e} c(e) f(e) \\ & \text{s.t.} & & \forall e \in E: & \ell(e) \leq f(e) \leq u(e) \\ & & & \forall v \in V: & a(v) \leq f(v) \leq b(v) \end{aligned}$$

where  $a: V \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $b: V \to \mathbb{R}$ ;  $\ell: E \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ ,  $u: E \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$   $c: E \to \mathbb{R}$ ;

## Generalization

#### Differences

- Flow along an edge e may have non-zero lower bound  $\ell(e)$ .
- Flow along e may have negative upper bound u(e).
- ▶ The demand at a node v may have lower bound a(v) and upper bound b(v) instead of just lower bound = upper bound = b(v).

## Reduction I

$$\begin{aligned} & \min & & \sum_{e} c(e) f(e) \\ & \text{s.t.} & & \forall e \in E: & \ell(e) \leq f(e) \leq u(e) \\ & & \forall v \in V: & a(v) \leq f(v) \leq b(v) \end{aligned}$$

## We can assume that a(v) = b(v):

Add new node r.

Add edge (r, v) for all  $v \in V$ .


Set  $\ell(e) = c(e) = 0$  for these edges.

Set u(e) = b(v) - a(v) for edge (r, v).

Set a(v) = b(v) for all  $v \in V$ .

Set  $b(r) = -\sum_{v \in V} b(v)$ .


 $-\sum_{v}b(v)$  is negative; hence r is only sending flow.



## **Reduction II**

$$\begin{aligned} & \min & & \sum_{e} c(e) f(e) \\ & \text{s.t.} & & \forall e \in E: & \ell(e) \leq f(e) \leq u(e) \\ & & \forall v \in V: & f(v) = b(v) \end{aligned}$$

## We can assume that either $\ell(e) \neq -\infty$ or $u(e) \neq \infty$ :




If c(e) = 0 we can contract the edge/identify nodes u and v.

If  $c(e) \neq 0$  we can transform the graph so that c(e) = 0.

## Reduction II

We can transform any network so that a particular edge has c(e) = 0:




Additionally we set b(u) = 0.

## **Reduction III**

$$\begin{aligned} & \min & & \sum_{e} c(e) f(e) \\ & \text{s.t.} & & \forall e \in E : & \ell(e) \leq f(e) \leq u(e) \\ & & \forall v \in V : & f(v) = b(v) \end{aligned}$$

### We can assume that $\ell(e) \neq -\infty$ :




Replace the edge by an edge in opposite direction.

## **Reduction IV**

$$\begin{aligned} & \min & & \sum_{e} c(e) f(e) \\ & \text{s.t.} & & \forall e \in E : & \ell(e) \leq f(e) \leq u(e) \\ & & \forall v \in V : & f(v) = b(v) \end{aligned}$$

### We can assume that $\ell(e) = 0$ :




The added edges have infinite capacity and cost c(e)/2.

# **Applications**

#### **Caterer Problem**

- ▶ She needs to supply  $r_i$  napkins on N successive days.
- She can buy new napkins at p cents each.
- She can launder them at a fast laundry that takes m days and cost f cents a napkin.
- She can use a slow laundry that takes k > m days and costs s cents each.
- At the end of each day she should determine how many to send to each laundry and how many to buy in order to fulfill demand.
- Minimize cost.




day edges:

upper bound:  $u(e_i) = \infty$ ;

lower bound:  $\ell(e_i) = r_i$ ;

cost: c(e) = 0




buy edges:

upper bound:  $u(e_i) = \infty$ ;

lower bound:  $\ell(e_i) = 0$ ;

cost: c(e) = p




## forward edges:

upper bound:  $u(e_i) = \infty$ ;

lower bound:  $\ell(e_i) = 0$ ;

cost: c(e) = 0




slow edges:

upper bound:  $u(e_i) = \infty$ ;

lower bound:  $\ell(e_i) = 0$ ;

cost: c(e) = s




fast edges:

upper bound:  $u(e_i) = \infty$ ;

lower bound:  $\ell(e_i) = 0$ ;

cost: c(e) = f



trash edges:

upper bound:  $u(e_i) = \infty$ ; lower bound:  $\ell(e_i) = 0$ ;

cost: c(e) = 0

# **Residual Graph**

#### Version A:

The residual graph G' for a mincost flow is just a copy of the graph G.

If we send f(e) along an edge, the corresponding edge e' in the residual graph has its lower and upper bound changed to  $\ell(e') = \ell(e) - f(e)$  and u(e') = u(e) - f(e).

#### Version B:

The residual graph for a mincost flow is exactly defined as the residual graph for standard flows, with the only exception that one needs to define a cost for the residual edge.

For a flow of z from u to v the residual edge (v,u) has capacity z and a cost of -c((u,v)).

A circulation in a graph G=(V,E) is a function  $f:E\to\mathbb{R}^+$  that has an excess flow f(v)=0 for every node  $v\in V$ .

A circulation is feasible if it fulfills capacity constraints, i.e.,  $f(e) \le u(e)$  for every edge of G.

#### Lemma 1

A given flow is a mincost-flow if and only if the corresponding residual graph  $G_f$  does not have a feasible circulation of negative cost.

 $\Rightarrow$  Suppose that g is a feasible circulation of negative cost in the residual graph.

Then f + g is a feasible flow with cost cost(f) + cost(g) < cost(f). Hence, f is not minimum cost.

 $\leftarrow$  Let f be a non-mincost flow, and let  $f^*$  be a min-cost flow. We need to show that the residual graph has a feasible circulation with negative cost.

Clearly  $f^* - f$  is a circulation of negative cost. One can also easily see that it is feasible for the residual graph. (after sending -f in the residual graph (pushing all flow back) we arrive at the original graph; for this  $f^*$  is clearly feasible)

For previous slide:

$$a = f^*$$
 f is obtain

 $\{g = f^* - f \text{ is obtained by computing } \Delta(e) = f^*(e) - f(e) \text{ for every } edge \ e = (u,v). \ \text{If the result is positive set } g((u,v)) = \Delta(e) \text{ and } g((v,u)) = 0. \ \text{Otherwise set } g((u,v)) = 0 \text{ and } g((v,u)) = -\Delta(e).$ 



#### Lemma 2

A graph (without zero-capacity edges) has a feasible circulation of negative cost if and only if it has a negative cycle w.r.t. edge-weights  $c : E \to \mathbb{R}$ .

#### Proof.

- Suppose that we have a negative cost circulation.
- Find directed cycle only using edges that have non-zero flow.
- If this cycle has negative cost you are done.
- Otherwise send flow in opposite direction along the cycle until the bottleneck edge(s) does not carry any flow.
- You still have a circulation with negative cost.
- Repeat.




497/503

## **Algorithm 23** CycleCanceling(G = (V, E), c, u, b)


- 1: establish a feasible flow f in G
- 2: while  $G_f$  contains negative cycle do
- 3: use Bellman-Ford to find a negative circuit Z
- 4:  $\delta \leftarrow \min\{u_f(e) \mid e \in Z\}$
- 5: augment  $\delta$  units along Z and update  $G_f$


## How do we find the initial feasible flow?





- Connect new node s to all nodes with negative b(v)-value.
- Connect nodes with positive b(v)-value to a new node t.
- ► There exist a feasible flow in the original graph iff in the resulting graph there exists an *s-t* flow of value


$$\sum_{v:b(v)<0} (-b(v)) = \sum_{v:b(v)>0} b(v) .$$














#### Lemma 3

The improving cycle algorithm runs in time  $O(nm^2CU)$ , for integer capacities and costs, when for all edges e,  $|c(e)| \le C$  and  $|u(e)| \le U$ .

- Running time of Bellman-Ford is O(mn).
- Pushing flow along the cycle can be done in time O(n).
- Each iteration decreases the total cost by at least 1.
- The true optimum cost must lie in the interval [-mCU, ..., +mCU].

Note that this lemma is weak since it does not allow for edges with infinite capacity.

502/503

A general mincost flow problem is of the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \min \quad \sum_{e} c(e) f(e) \\ & \text{s.t.} \quad \forall e \in E : \ \ell(e) \leq f(e) \leq u(e) \\ & \forall v \in V : \ a(v) \leq f(v) \leq b(v) \end{aligned}$$
 where  $a: V \to \mathbb{R}, \ b: V \to \mathbb{R}; \ \ell: E \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}, \ u: E \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$   $c: E \to \mathbb{R};$ 

### Lemma 4 (without proof)

A general mincost flow problem can be solved in polynomial time.