

Overview: Shortest Augmenting Paths

Overview: Shortest Augmenting Paths

Lemma 5

The length of the shortest augmenting path never decreases.

Overview: Shortest Augmenting Paths

Lemma 5

The length of the shortest augmenting path never decreases.

Lemma 6

After at most $\mathcal{O}(m)$ augmentations, the length of the shortest augmenting path strictly increases.

Overview: Shortest Augmenting Paths

These two lemmas give the following theorem:

Overview: Shortest Augmenting Paths

These two lemmas give the following theorem:

Theorem 7

The shortest augmenting path algorithm performs at most $\mathcal{O}(mn)$ augmentations. This gives a running time of $\mathcal{O}(m^2n)$.

Overview: Shortest Augmenting Paths

These two lemmas give the following theorem:

Theorem 7

The shortest augmenting path algorithm performs at most $\mathcal{O}(mn)$ augmentations. This gives a running time of $\mathcal{O}(m^2n)$.

Proof.

- ▶ We can find the shortest augmenting paths in time $\mathcal{O}(m)$ via BFS.



Overview: Shortest Augmenting Paths

These two lemmas give the following theorem:

Theorem 7

The shortest augmenting path algorithm performs at most $\mathcal{O}(mn)$ augmentations. This gives a running time of $\mathcal{O}(m^2n)$.

Proof.

- ▶ We can find the shortest augmenting paths in time $\mathcal{O}(m)$ via BFS.
- ▶ $\mathcal{O}(m)$ augmentations for paths of exactly $k < n$ edges.



Shortest Augmenting Paths

Define the level $\ell(v)$ of a node as the length of the shortest s - v path in G_f (along non-zero edges).

Shortest Augmenting Paths

Define the level $\ell(v)$ of a node as the length of the shortest s - v path in G_f (along non-zero edges).


Let L_G denote the **subgraph** of the residual graph G_f that contains only those edges (u, v) with $\ell(v) = \ell(u) + 1$.

Shortest Augmenting Paths

Define the level $\ell(v)$ of a node as the length of the shortest s - v path in G_f (along non-zero edges).

Let L_G denote the **subgraph** of the residual graph G_f that contains only those edges (u, v) with $\ell(v) = \ell(u) + 1$.

A path P is a shortest s - u path in G_f **iff** it is an s - u path in L_G .




Shortest Augmenting Paths

Define the level $\ell(v)$ of a node as the length of the shortest s - v path in G_f (along non-zero edges).

Let L_G denote the **subgraph** of the residual graph G_f that contains only those edges (u, v) with $\ell(v) = \ell(u) + 1$.

A path P is a shortest s - t path in G_f **iff** it is an s - t path in L_G .




Shortest Augmenting Paths

Define the level $\ell(v)$ of a node as the length of the shortest s - v path in G_f (along non-zero edges).

Let L_G denote the **subgraph** of the residual graph G_f that contains only those edges (u, v) with $\ell(v) = \ell(u) + 1$.

A path P is a shortest s - u path in G_f **iff** it is an s - u path in L_G .




Shortest Augmenting Paths

Define the level $\ell(v)$ of a node as the length of the shortest s - v path in G_f (along non-zero edges).

Let L_G denote the **subgraph** of the residual graph G_f that contains only those edges (u, v) with $\ell(v) = \ell(u) + 1$.

A path P is a shortest s - u path in G_f **iff** it is an s - u path in L_G .




Shortest Augmenting Paths

Define the level $\ell(v)$ of a node as the length of the shortest s - v path in G_f (along non-zero edges).

Let L_G denote the **subgraph** of the residual graph G_f that contains only those edges (u, v) with $\ell(v) = \ell(u) + 1$.

A path P is a shortest s - u path in G_f **iff** it is an s - u path in L_G .




Shortest Augmenting Paths

Define the level $\ell(v)$ of a node as the length of the shortest s - v path in G_f (along non-zero edges).

Let L_G denote the **subgraph** of the residual graph G_f that contains only those edges (u, v) with $\ell(v) = \ell(u) + 1$.

A path P is a shortest s - u path in G_f **iff** it is an s - u path in L_G .




Shortest Augmenting Paths

Define the level $\ell(v)$ of a node as the length of the shortest s - v path in G_f (along non-zero edges).

Let L_G denote the **subgraph** of the residual graph G_f that contains only those edges (u, v) with $\ell(v) = \ell(u) + 1$.

A path P is a shortest s - u path in G_f **iff** it is an s - u path in L_G .



In the following we assume that the residual graph G_f does not contain zero capacity edges.

This means, we construct it in the usual sense and then delete edges of zero capacity.

Shortest Augmenting Path

First Lemma:

The length of the shortest augmenting path never decreases.

Shortest Augmenting Path

First Lemma:

The length of the shortest augmenting path never decreases.

After an augmentation G_f changes as follows:

- ▶ Bottleneck edges on the chosen path are deleted.

Shortest Augmenting Path

First Lemma:

The length of the shortest augmenting path never decreases.

After an augmentation G_f changes as follows:

- ▶ Bottleneck edges on the chosen path are deleted.
- ▶ Back edges are added to all edges that don't have back edges so far.

Shortest Augmenting Path

First Lemma:

The length of the shortest augmenting path never decreases.

After an augmentation G_f changes as follows:

- ▶ Bottleneck edges on the chosen path are deleted.
- ▶ Back edges are added to all edges that don't have back edges so far.

These changes cannot decrease the distance between s and t .

Shortest Augmenting Path


First Lemma:

The length of the shortest augmenting path never decreases.

After an augmentation G_f changes as follows:

- ▶ Bottleneck edges on the chosen path are deleted.
- ▶ Back edges are added to all edges that don't have back edges so far.

These changes cannot decrease the distance between s and t .



Shortest Augmenting Path


First Lemma:

The length of the shortest augmenting path never decreases.

After an augmentation G_f changes as follows:

- ▶ Bottleneck edges on the chosen path are deleted.
- ▶ Back edges are added to all edges that don't have back edges so far.

These changes cannot decrease the distance between s and t .



Shortest Augmenting Path


First Lemma:

The length of the shortest augmenting path never decreases.

After an augmentation G_f changes as follows:

- ▶ Bottleneck edges on the chosen path are deleted.
- ▶ Back edges are added to all edges that don't have back edges so far.

These changes cannot decrease the distance between s and t .



Shortest Augmenting Path


First Lemma:

The length of the shortest augmenting path never decreases.

After an augmentation G_f changes as follows:

- ▶ Bottleneck edges on the chosen path are deleted.
- ▶ Back edges are added to all edges that don't have back edges so far.

These changes cannot decrease the distance between s and t .



Shortest Augmenting Path


First Lemma:

The length of the shortest augmenting path never decreases.

After an augmentation G_f changes as follows:

- ▶ Bottleneck edges on the chosen path are deleted.
- ▶ Back edges are added to all edges that don't have back edges so far.

These changes cannot decrease the distance between s and t .



Shortest Augmenting Path


First Lemma:

The length of the shortest augmenting path never decreases.

After an augmentation G_f changes as follows:

- ▶ Bottleneck edges on the chosen path are deleted.
- ▶ Back edges are added to all edges that don't have back edges so far.

These changes cannot decrease the distance between s and t .



Shortest Augmenting Path


First Lemma:

The length of the shortest augmenting path never decreases.

After an augmentation G_f changes as follows:

- ▶ Bottleneck edges on the chosen path are deleted.
- ▶ Back edges are added to all edges that don't have back edges so far.

These changes cannot decrease the distance between s and t .



Shortest Augmenting Path

Second Lemma: After at most m augmentations the length of the shortest augmenting path strictly increases.

Shortest Augmenting Path

Second Lemma: After at most m augmentations the length of the shortest augmenting path strictly increases.

Let M denote the set of edges in graph L_G at the beginning of a round when the distance between s and t is k .

Shortest Augmenting Path

Second Lemma: After at most m augmentations the length of the shortest augmenting path strictly increases.

Let M denote the set of edges in graph L_G at the beginning of a round when the distance between s and t is k .

An s - t path in G_f that uses edges not in M has length larger than k , even when using edges added to G_f during the round.


Shortest Augmenting Path

Second Lemma: After at most m augmentations the length of the shortest augmenting path strictly increases.

Let M denote the set of edges in graph L_G at the beginning of a round when the distance between s and t is k .

An s - t path in G_f that uses edges not in M has length larger than k , even when using edges added to G_f during the round.

In each augmentation an edge is deleted from M .




Shortest Augmenting Path

Second Lemma: After at most m augmentations the length of the shortest augmenting path strictly increases.

Let M denote the set of edges in graph L_G at the beginning of a round when the distance between s and t is k .

An s - t path in G_f that uses edges not in M has length larger than k , even when using edges added to G_f during the round.

In each augmentation an edge is deleted from M .




Shortest Augmenting Path

Second Lemma: After at most m augmentations the length of the shortest augmenting path strictly increases.

Let M denote the set of edges in graph L_G at the beginning of a round when the distance between s and t is k .

An s - t path in G_f that uses edges not in M has length larger than k , even when using edges added to G_f during the round.

In each augmentation an edge is deleted from M .




Shortest Augmenting Path

Second Lemma: After at most m augmentations the length of the shortest augmenting path strictly increases.

Let M denote the set of edges in graph L_G at the beginning of a round when the distance between s and t is k .

An s - t path in G_f that uses edges not in M has length larger than k , even when using edges added to G_f during the round.

In each augmentation an edge is deleted from M .




Shortest Augmenting Path

Second Lemma: After at most m augmentations the length of the shortest augmenting path strictly increases.

Let M denote the set of edges in graph L_G at the beginning of a round when the distance between s and t is k .

An s - t path in G_f that uses edges not in M has length larger than k , even when using edges added to G_f during the round.

In each augmentation an edge is deleted from M .



Shortest Augmenting Paths

Shortest Augmenting Paths

Theorem 8

The shortest augmenting path algorithm performs at most $\mathcal{O}(mn)$ augmentations. Each augmentation can be performed in time $\mathcal{O}(m)$.

Shortest Augmenting Paths

Theorem 8

The shortest augmenting path algorithm performs at most $\mathcal{O}(mn)$ augmentations. Each augmentation can be performed in time $\mathcal{O}(m)$.

Theorem 9 (without proof)

There exist networks with $m = \Theta(n^2)$ that require $\mathcal{O}(mn)$ augmentations, when we restrict ourselves to only augment along shortest augmenting paths.

Shortest Augmenting Paths

Theorem 8

The shortest augmenting path algorithm performs at most $\mathcal{O}(mn)$ augmentations. Each augmentation can be performed in time $\mathcal{O}(m)$.

Theorem 9 (without proof)

There exist networks with $m = \Theta(n^2)$ that require $\mathcal{O}(mn)$ augmentations, when we restrict ourselves to only augment along shortest augmenting paths.

Note:

There always exists a set of m augmentations that gives a maximum flow (why?).

Shortest Augmenting Paths

When sticking to shortest augmenting paths we cannot improve (asymptotically) on the number of augmentations.

Shortest Augmenting Paths

When sticking to shortest augmenting paths we cannot improve (asymptotically) on the number of augmentations.

However, we can improve the running time to $\mathcal{O}(mn^2)$ by improving the running time for finding an augmenting path (currently we assume $\mathcal{O}(m)$ per augmentation for this).

Shortest Augmenting Paths

We maintain a subset M of the edges of G_f with the guarantee that a shortest s - t path using only edges from M is a shortest augmenting path.

Shortest Augmenting Paths

We maintain a subset M of the edges of G_f with the guarantee that a shortest s - t path using only edges from M is a shortest augmenting path.

With each augmentation some edges are deleted from M .

Shortest Augmenting Paths

We maintain a subset M of the edges of G_f with the guarantee that a shortest s - t path using only edges from M is a shortest augmenting path.

With each augmentation some edges are deleted from M .

When M does not contain an s - t path anymore the distance between s and t strictly increases.

Shortest Augmenting Paths

We maintain a subset M of the edges of G_f with the guarantee that a shortest $s-t$ path using only edges from M is a shortest augmenting path.

With each augmentation some edges are deleted from M .

When M does not contain an $s-t$ path anymore the distance between s and t strictly increases.

Note that M is not the set of edges of the level graph but a subset of level-graph edges.

Suppose that the initial distance between s and t in G_f is k .

Suppose that the initial distance between s and t in G_f is k .

M is initialized as the level graph L_G .

Suppose that the initial distance between s and t in G_f is k .

M is initialized as the level graph L_G .

Perform a **DFS search** to find a path from s to t using edges from M .

Suppose that the initial distance between s and t in G_f is k .

M is initialized as the level graph L_G .

Perform a **DFS search** to find a path from s to t using edges from M .

Either you find t after at most n steps, or you end at a node v that does not have any outgoing edges.

Suppose that the initial distance between s and t in G_f is k .

M is initialized as the level graph L_G .

Perform a **DFS search** to find a path from s to t using edges from M .

Either you find t after at most n steps, or you end at a node v that does not have any outgoing edges.

You can delete incoming edges of v from M .

Analysis

Analysis

Let a phase of the algorithm be defined by the time between two augmentations during which the distance between s and t strictly increases.

Analysis

Let a phase of the algorithm be defined by the time between two augmentations during which the distance between s and t strictly increases.

Initializing M for the phase takes time $\mathcal{O}(m)$.

Analysis

Let a phase of the algorithm be defined by the time between two augmentations during which the distance between s and t strictly increases.

Initializing M for the phase takes time $\mathcal{O}(m)$.

The total cost for searching for augmenting paths during a phase is at most $\mathcal{O}(mn)$, since every search (successful (i.e., reaching t) or unsuccessful) decreases the number of edges in M and takes time $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

Analysis

Let a phase of the algorithm be defined by the time between two augmentations during which the distance between s and t strictly increases.

Initializing M for the phase takes time $\mathcal{O}(m)$.

The total cost for searching for augmenting paths during a phase is at most $\mathcal{O}(mn)$, since every search (successful (i.e., reaching t) or unsuccessful) decreases the number of edges in M and takes time $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

The total cost for performing an augmentation **during** a phase is only $\mathcal{O}(n)$. For every edge in the augmenting path one has to update the residual graph G_f and has to check whether the edge is still in M for the next search.

Analysis

Let a phase of the algorithm be defined by the time between two augmentations during which the distance between s and t strictly increases.

Initializing M for the phase takes time $\mathcal{O}(m)$.

The total cost for searching for augmenting paths during a phase is at most $\mathcal{O}(mn)$, since every search (successful (i.e., reaching t) or unsuccessful) decreases the number of edges in M and takes time $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

The total cost for performing an augmentation **during** a phase is only $\mathcal{O}(n)$. For every edge in the augmenting path one has to update the residual graph G_f and has to check whether the edge is still in M for the next search.

There are at most n phases. Hence, total cost is $\mathcal{O}(mn^2)$.