

# Matching

- lnput: undirected graph G = (V, E).
- $M \subseteq E$  is a matching if each node appears in at most one edge in M.
- Maximum Matching: find a matching of maximum cardinality



# **Bipartite Matching**


- ▶ Input: undirected, bipartite graph  $G = (L \uplus R, E)$ .
- $M \subseteq E$  is a matching if each node appears in at most one edge in M.
- Maximum Matching: find a matching of maximum cardinality



# **Bipartite Matching**

Harald Räcke

- ▶ Input: undirected, bipartite graph  $G = (L \uplus R, E)$ .
- $M \subseteq E$  is a matching if each node appears in at most one edge in M.
- Maximum Matching: find a matching of maximum cardinality



# Maxflow Formulation • Input: undirected, bipartite graph $G = (L \uplus R \uplus \{s, t\}, E')$ . • Direct all edges from L to R. • Add source s and connect it to all nodes on the left. • Add t and connect all nodes on the right to t. • All edges have unit capacity. • f(t) = (t) + (

8.1 Matching


15. Dec. 2022

324/337


# Proof

#### Max cardinality matching in $G \leq$ value of maxflow in G'

- Given a maximum matching *M* of cardinality *k*.
- Consider flow *f* that sends one unit along each of *k* paths.
- f is a flow and has cardinality k.




# 8.1 Matching Which flow algorithm to use? Generic augmenting path: O(mval(f\*)) = O(mn). Capacity scaling: O(m<sup>2</sup> log C) = O(m<sup>2</sup>). Shortest augmenting path: O(mn<sup>2</sup>). For unit capacity simple graphs shortest augmenting path can be implemented in time O(m√n).



# Proof

Max cardinality matching in  $G \ge$  value of maxflow in G'

- Let f be a maxflow in G' of value k
- Integrality theorem  $\Rightarrow$  k integral; we can assume f is 0/1.
- Consider M= set of edges from L to R with f(e) = 1.
- Each node in *L* and *R* participates in at most one edge in *M*.
- |M| = k, as the flow must use at least k middle edges.



Baseball	Elimination

team	wins	losses	remaining games			
i	$w_i$	$\ell_i$	Atl	Phi	NY	Mon
Atlanta	83	71	-	1	6	1
Philadelphia	80	79	1	-	0	2
New York	78	78	6	0	_	0
Montreal	77	82	1	2	0	-

#### Which team can end the season with most wins?


- Montreal is eliminated, since even after winning all remaining games there are only 80 wins.
- But also Philadelphia is eliminated. Why?

# **Baseball Elimination**

Formal definition of the problem:


- Given a set *S* of teams, and one specific team  $z \in S$ .
- Team x has already won  $w_x$  games.
- Team x still has to play team y,  $r_{xy}$  times.
- Does team z still have a chance to finish with the most number of wins.

Harald Räcke	8.2 Baseball Elimination	15. Dec. 2022 <b>329/337</b>



### **Baseball Elimination**

Flow network for z = 3. *M* is number of wins Team 3 can still obtain.



**Idea.** Distribute the results of remaining games in such a way that no team gets too many wins.

החוהר	8.2 Baseball Elimination	15. Dec. 2022
UUU Harald Räcke		330/337

#### **Theorem 51**

A team z is eliminated if and only if the flow network for z does not allow a flow of value  $\sum_{ij \in S \setminus \{z\}, i < j} r_{ij}$ .

#### Proof (⇐)

- Consider the mincut A in the flow network. Let T be the set of team-nodes in A.
- If for node x-y not both team-nodes x and y are in T, then x-y ∉ A as otw. the cut would cut an infinite capacity edge.
- We don't find a flow that saturates all source edges:

#### $r(S \setminus \{z\}) > \operatorname{cap}(A, V \setminus A)$

 $\geq \sum_{i < j: i \notin T \lor j \notin T} r_{ij} + \sum_{i \in T} (M - w_i)$  $\geq r(S \setminus \{z\}) - r(T) + |T|M - w(T)$ 


▶ This gives M < (w(T) + r(T))/|T|, i.e., *z* is eliminated.

# **Baseball Elimination**

#### Proof (⇒)

- Suppose we have a flow that saturates all source edges.
- We can assume that this flow is integral.
- For every pairing x-y it defines how many games team x and team y should win.
- The flow leaving the team-node x can be interpreted as the additional number of wins that team x will obtain.
- This is less than  $M w_x$  because of capacity constraints.
- Hence, we found a set of results for the remaining games, such that no team obtains more than M wins in total.
- Hence, team *z* is not eliminated.

החוחר	8.2 Baseball Elimination	15. Dec. 202
Harald Räcke		333/33



# **Project Selection**

#### Project selection problem:

- Set *P* of possible projects. Project *v* has an associated profit *p<sub>v</sub>* (can be positive or negative).
- Some projects have requirements (taking course EA2 requires course EA1).
- Dependencies are modelled in a graph. Edge (u, v) means "can't do project u without also doing project v."
- A subset A of projects is feasible if the prerequisites of every project in A also belong to A.


Goal: Find a feasible set of projects that maximizes the profit.

Harald Räcke	8.3 Project Selection	15. Dec. 2022 <b>334/337</b>

# **Project Selection**

#### Mincut formulation:

- Edges in the prerequisite graph get infinite capacity.
- Add edge (s, v) with capacity p<sub>v</sub> for nodes v with positive profit.
- Create edge (v, t) with capacity -pv for nodes v with negative profit.



#### Theorem 52

A is a mincut if  $A \setminus \{s\}$  is the optimal set of projects.

#### Proof.

► A is feasible because of capacity infinity edges.

