9.3 Highest Label

| Algorithm 1 highest-label(G, s, t) | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 1: initialize preflow | | | | | |
| | 2: foreach $u \in V \setminus \{s, t\}$ do | | | | | |
| | 3: $u.current-neighbour \leftarrow u.neighbour-list-head$ | | | | | |

4: while \exists active node u do

- 5: select active node *u* with highest label
- 6: discharge(u)

| arald Räcke | | |
|-------------|--|--|
| | | |

9.3 Highest Label

יי (החלחו

Since a discharge-operation is terminated by a deactivating push this gives an upper bound of $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ on the number of discharge-operations.

The cost for relabels and saturating pushes can be estimated in exactly the same way as in the case of the generic push-relabel algorithm.

Question:

How do we find the next node for a discharge operation?

9.3 Highest Label

Lemma 70

When using highest label the number of deactivating pushes is only $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$.

A push from a node on level ℓ can only "activate" nodes on levels strictly less than $\ell.$

This means, after a deactivating push from u a relabel is required to make u active again.

Hence, after n deactivating pushes without an intermediate relabel there are no active nodes left.

Therefore, the number of deactivating pushes is at most $n(\#relabels + 1) = O(n^3)$.

9.3 Highest Label

Maintain lists L_i , $i \in \{0, ..., 2n\}$, where list L_i contains active nodes with label i (maintaining these lists induces only constant additional cost for every push-operation and for every relabel-operation).

After a discharge operation terminated for a node u with label k, traverse the lists $L_k, L_{k-1}, \ldots, L_0$, (in that order) until you find a non-empty list.

Unless the last (deactivating) push was to s or t the list k - 1 must be non-empty (i.e., the search takes constant time).



15. Dec. 2022 369/372

15. Dec. 2022

367/372

9.3 Highest Label

Hence, the total time required for searching for active nodes is at most

 $O(n^3) + n(\# deactivating-pushes-to-s-or-t)$

Lemma 71

The number of deactivating pushes to s or t is at most $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$.

With this lemma we get

Theorem 72

The push-relabel algorithm with the rule highest-label takes time $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$.

| | 9.3 Highest Label | 15. Dec. 2022 |
|------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| UUU Harald Räcke | | 371/372 |

9.3 Highest Label

Proof of the Lemma.

- We only show that the number of pushes to the source is at most $O(n^2)$. A similar argument holds for the target.
- After a node v (which must have ℓ(v) = n + 1) made a deactivating push to the source there needs to be another node whose label is increased from ≤ n + 1 to n + 2 before v can become active again.
- This happens for every push that v makes to the source. Since, every node can pass the threshold n + 2 at most once, v can make at most n pushes to the source.
- ► As this holds for every node the total number of pushes to the source is at most O(n²).

| החוחר | 9.3 Highest Label | 15. Dec. 2022 |
|------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| UUU Harald Räcke | | 372/372 |

